

Appendix 1

AFLS&P Architects Limited - Conclusion

Within the feasibility study completed by ***AFLS&P Architects Limited*** report, the following conclusions were identified for each option;

Option 1 – This is the simplest option with a simple extension and a single connection point to the building, as a standalone extension with new services. It also requires the least services work hence the lower cost, but creates a fitness suite split across 2 areas rather than a simple open space. This option uses 2 existing areas as Multi-purpose rooms.

Option 2 – This is the most flexible option as it provides 2 multipurpose rooms which can be opened up to create 1 large multipurpose room, but creates a Fitness suite split across 2 areas rather than a single open space, to adapt the existing multi-purpose would require slightly more Mechanical and electrical work including new elements and reworking of some existing elements.

Option 3 – This option opens up the Fitness Suite space to create a single large open space for the Fitness Suite, but only a single multi-purpose room and requires substantially more mechanical and electrical services works, as the fitness suite offices etc. need relocating, and some of the existing services will require updating. In opening this up it also requires more structural work to be able to create the large opening. This is the most expensive option as both the building and services work is more extensive than the preceding options.

A business case should be used to explore which of the 3 options is truly the best value for money and the most appropriate for Exe Valley Leisure Centre and its members.